Determination of Total Phenolic Compounds, Antioxidant Activity and Ferulic Acid in Extracts of Sugar Beet Pulp
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ABSTRACT

In the recent research, two extracts (methanol and NaOH (0.5 molL⁻¹)) were analyzed for the total phenolic contents (TPC), ferulic acid concentration and antioxidant activity. Results revealed that significant differences were found in TPC among different extracts and alkaline extracts contained higher amounts of polyphenols than methanolic extract. The ferulic acid concentration in the solvent and alkaline liquor varied according to extraction time and there were no significant differences between the ferulic acid concentration for all methanolic treatments. Antioxidant capacity for extracts were shown that the significant differences were found at a level of (p < 0.05) between all extracts and correlation coefficient (r² = 0.82) showed that total phenolic content was responsible for antiradical efficiency in sugar beet pulp extracts. Our results strongly suggest sugar beet pulp extracts can be promising sources of potential antioxidants that can be used as additive in food industry.
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ÖZET

Bu araştırmada iki özütün (metanol ve NaOH (0.5 molL⁻¹)), toplam fenolik içeriği, ferulik asit derişimi ve antioksidan aktivitesi analiz edildi. Sonuçlarda TFİ de fenolik miktarın metanolik miktara göre daha fazla olduğu alkalin ve farklı ekstraklar arasında önemli farklılıklar olduğu görülü. Solvent ve alkali likörlerindeki ferulik asit derişimler ekstraksyon zamanına göre çeşitli etkileşimleri, tüm metanolik tedavilerde ferulik asit konsantrasyonları arasında önemli bir fark olmadığı gözland. Ekstratlar için antioksidan kapasite, şeker pancarı küspesi ekstratlarında antiradikal etki için sorumu toplam fenolik içeriğin egrisi (r² = 0.82) ve tüm ekstratlar arasında (p < 0.05) seviyesinde bulunan önemli farklılıklar gösterildi. Sonuçlarımızda Şeker pancarı küspesi ekstratlarının gıda endüstripinde katkıda olibileceği ve potansiyel bir antioksidan kaynağı gösterildi.
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INTRODUCTION

Phenolic compounds which are secondary metabolites in plants are one of the most widely occurring groups of phytochemicals that exhibit antiallergenic, antimicrobial, anti-atherogenic, antithrombotic, anti-inflammatory, vasodilatory and cardio protective effects [1,2].

Phenolics are antioxidants with redox properties, which allow them to act as reducing agents, hydrogen donators, and singlet oxygen quenchers. They have also metal chelation properties. Recently there has been an upsurge of interest in the therapeutic potentials of medicinal plants as antioxidants in reducing such free radical induced tissue injury [3]. Extraction of major phenolic compounds from agricultural crop residues is important for the development of value added products from renewable by-products [4]. One of these potential sources is sugar beet pulp (SBP), a main by-product of sugar beet industries. It is a valuable by-product, but at present it is only used as animal feed. Ferulic acid (FA) is an ubiquitous phenolic plant constituent that exhibits a wide range of therapeutic effects against cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular and shows potential commercial application in food and cosmetic industries [5].

Currently, FA is widely used in food as a preservative due to its antioxidant, antimicrobial actions and as a cross-linking agent to gel formation [6].

Based on the traditional claims surrounding sugar beet and the lack of scientific studies on ferulic acid extraction, the objective of this study was to evaluate the ferulic acid concentration and also elucidate total phenolic content and antioxidant activity through direct free radical scavenging methods of sugar beet pulp extracts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials
Sugar beet pulp (SBP) was provided by Isfahan Sugar Factory (Isfahan, Iran). Trans-ferulic acid as external standard and ABTS(2,2‘-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonicacid)) from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI, USA), Sodium Hydroxide, ethanol, methanol, KBr, Gallic acid, ethyl acetate and Folin-Ciocalteu reagent were obtained from Merck Chemical Company.

Instrumentation
Panasonic MX-J120-P mill type laboratory. HPLC (Agilent Technologies), equipped with a Zorbax C18 column (length 150 mm × 4.6 mm dpl. 5 μm particle size, 300 Å pore size, Agilent Technologies 1200 series, USA) and coupled online with a UV/Vis Agilent Technologies detector. Perkin Elmer spectrum 65 FT-IR spectrometer (USA). PTFE syringe-driven filters (0.22 μm pore size) were provided by Biofil (Germany).

Sample Preparation
Sugar beet pulp (SBP) was soaked in water for 3 hours to extract sugar residues, then it was dried in vacuum oven at 40°C for 12 h and ground in a laboratory mill. The powdered sample was passed through a set of sieves, and the fraction retained on the sieve with mesh size 0.9 mm was taken for further investigations [7].

Extraction Method

Extraction with Methanol
Methanol was the most commonly used extraction solvent in the assay of phenolic compounds herbs in literatures [3]. An important factor for the choice of an solvent is its polarity and ferulic acid is a polar compound and methanol has a protective role.

In this study, 5 g of SBP was mixed in 100 ml of methanol solution (99% v/v) and the extraction of ferulic acid was performed by reflux for 2, 6 and 12 hours at 60°C temperature. Then, the pH of methanolic extracts was adjusted to 2.0, with HCl 6 M for lignin precipitation [8]. The mixture was filtered off, and subsequently the filtrate was centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 2 min. The supernatant was vacuum evaporated to remove excess methanol. Then the concentrated extract was analyzed for determination of total phenolic content, ferulic acid and antioxidant activity.

Extraction with Sodium Hydroxide
In this method, 5 g of sugar beet pulp powder was placed in an Erlenmeyer flask attached to a condenser, and mixed with a 100 ml NaOH 0.5
It was heated up to 60°C for 2, 6 and 12 h and then cooled down to 20°C. Once the extraction process was completed, pH was reduced to 2.0, so that the hemicellulose would precipitate. The final mixtures were filtered off, and subsequently 150 mL ethyl acetate was added to the filtrates in a 250 mL baffled Erlenmeyer flask and was shaken in vortex (100 rpm) at room temperature for 15 min to carry out a liquid-liquid extraction. The supernatant was separated and was placed in vacuum evaporator to remove excess solvent. All the treatments were conducted in triplicate and extracts were characterized through the determination of total phenolic compounds, ferulic acid and antioxidant activity.

It should be noted that all of the hydrolysates were held under nitrogen gas in a 250 ml flask covered with aluminum foil and kept in a dark place in order to avoid phenolic compounds oxidation and cis-trans isomerization.

**Determination of Total Phenolics Content**
The total phenolics content of extracts was determined in accordance with a protocol described by Turkmen, and Velioglu [9]. 1 ml of each sample was mixed with 5 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10% in distilled water) in a test tube. After 5 min, 4 ml of sodium carbonate (7.5% in distilled water) were added to each tube, the test tubes were cap-screwed and vortexed. Mixtures were kept in dark at ambient conditions for 2 h to complete the reaction. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm with a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Gallic acid was used as standard and the analyses were done in triplicate. The results were expressed as mg gallic acid (GAE)/g sugar beet pulp extract.

**Measurement of Antioxidant Capacity of Sugar Beet Pulp Extracts**
Antioxidant capacity of methanolic extract of sugar beet pulp was performed immediately by ABTS method. The method used was the ABTS+ (radical cation) decolourisation assay [10]. The radical cation was prepared by mixing 7 mM of ABTS stock solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate (1/1, v/v) and leaving the mixture for 4-16 h until the reaction was complete and the absorbance was stable. The ABTS++ solution was diluted with ethanol to an absorbance of 0.700 ± 0.05 at 734 nm for measurement. The photometric assay was conducted on 0.9 ml of ABTS++ solution and 0.1 ml of tested sample and mixed for 45 sec; measurements were taken immediately at 734 nm after 15 min. The antioxidant activity of the tested sample was calculated by determining the decrease in absorbance by using the following equation:

\[
E = \left( \frac{A_t - A_c}{A} \right) \times 100
\]

Where At and Ac are the respective absorbance of tested sample and ABTS++ was expressed as μmol. Trolox chosen as standard antioxidant and the standard reference curve was constructed by plotting % inhibition value against Trolox concentration between 10 and 600 μM. Antioxidant activity measurement, expressed as Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC). Each sample was measured in triplicate. Mean and standard deviation (n = 3) were calculated.

**HPLC Analysis for Ferulic Acid Determination**
The extracts from different extraction methods (methanolic, alkali extract) were passed through 0.22 μm PTFE micro filters and 10 μl of the filtrates were injected into the HPLC system coupled online with a UV/Vis Agilent Technologies detector. The flow rate was adjusted on 1.0 mL/min and the oven temperature was 35°C. The mobile phase consisted of methanol and water (1% acetic acid) (35:65, v/v) and the detector was set at 320 nm. All quantitative analyses were carried out using ferulic acid as an analytical standard.

An aliquot of 10 μL solution for each calibration standard solution was injected for HPLC analysis. The calibration curve was constructed by plotting the peak areas of the analyte against the concentration of ferulic acid.

**Statistical Analysis**
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan’s test was carried out to test for differences between extract (methanol and alkaline hydrolysis) in the statistical program SPSS ver. 15.0. Significance of differences was defined at the 5% level (p < 0.05).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Total phenolic contents (TPC)
The total phenolics content of extracts was determined in accordance with Folin-Ciocalteu reagent method. Significant differences were found in TPC among different extracts and alkaline extracts contained higher amounts of polyphenols than methanolic extract. The average of total phenolics content of methanolic and alkaline extract were 188.67 and 766.66 mg GAE/100 g db, respectively.

Content of phenolics compounds in sugar beet pulp extract are exhibited in Table 1. Table 1 also summarized that alkaline extract have the highest total phenolic (P < 0.01) (893.24 ± 21.87 mg GAE/g dry extract). The results showed that alkaline treatment led to retained higher phenolics, which might be due to an alkaline hydrolysis breaks the ester bond linking phenolic acids to the cell wall and thus is an effective way to release phenolic compounds from polysaccharides. It is clear that chemical processes are more efficient to extract phenolic compounds by hydrolyzing the covalent esteric bond [5].

Antioxidant Capacity
Antioxidant capacity of methanolic extract of sugar beet pulp was performed by ABTS method. Antioxidant capacity results expressed as μmol of Trolox equivalents per milligram of samples. The ABTS cation radical (ABTS•+) which absorbs at 734 nm (giving a bluish-green colour) is formed by the loss of an electron by the nitrogen atom of ABTS [2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)] [15].

Antioxidant capacity for methanolic and alkaline extracts were shown in Table 2. According to results Significant differences were found at a significance level of p < 0.05 between all extracts. Correlation coefficient showed that total phenolic content was responsible for antiradical efficiency in sugar beet pulp extracts. The antioxidant and total phenolic content levels are also positively and significantly correlated our results strongly suggest sugar beet pulp extracts can be promising sources of potential antioxidants that can be used as additive in food industry.

Among various fractions of sugar beet pulp extract, extraction with Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹) in 6 h reaction time possessed the highest ABTS radical scavenging activity (75.5 ± 6.3 μg/ml), while methanol extract showed the lowest ABTS radical scavenging activity (43.1 ± 5.1 μg/ml).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg GAE/100 g db*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>128.19 ± 3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>254.71 ± 11.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>183.11 ± 10.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>645.31 ± 13.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>893.24 ± 21.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>761.43 ± 14.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*GAE: Gallic acid equivalent; db: dry base
**Each value is the mean of three replicates ± standard deviation. The values in each column with the same superscript letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05).
Significant and positive correlation of TPCs and ABTS antioxidant capacity was obtained for various fractions ($P < 0.05$, $r^2 = 0.8213$).

HPLC Analysis and Ferulic Acid Determination

Ferulic acid was identified in the HPLC chromatogram of sugar beet pulp extracts. The HPLC chromatograms of hydrolyzed extract are shown in Figure 1. Intense peaks eluted at 3.47 min indicated ferulic acid as a major phenolic component of hydrolyzed extracts.

Results indicated that the concentration of ferulic acid in methanolic extract was much lower than that in the alkaline hydrolysates (Table 1). There were significant difference between the results for each specifications ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3). The ferulic acid concentration in the solvent and alkaline liquor varied according to extraction time and concentration and for methanol solvent treatments there were no significant difference between the results for each time ($p < 0.05$).

Ferulic and p-cumaric acid can be linked to lignin and/or polysaccharides through ester or ether bonds in cell walls. Alkaline hydrolysis breaks the ester bond linking phenolic acids to the cell wall and thus is an effective way to release phenolic compounds from polysaccharides.

### Table 2. Antioxidant activity of different sugar beet pulp extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Antioxidant activity (μmol of Trolox equivalents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43.1 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52.4 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>47.6 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>64.1 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75.5 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70.3 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value is the mean of three replicates ± standard deviation. The values in each column with the same superscript letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$).
In comparison between solvents the amount of ferulic acid in alkaline extract was higher than that in methanolic extract. This was probably due to the relative polarity and the increase in effective swelling of the plant by water and alkali, which helped increase the surface area for solute–solvent contact [16]. Also the results demonstrated that coniferyl ferulate was readily hydrolyzed into ferulic acid in a weak basic solvent, and hence a large amount of ferulic acid could be determined [7].

According to the obtained results, the main key factors affecting the releasing rate of phenolic compounds are alkali concentration and hydrolysis duration. The same result has previously been reported for the effect of these factors on the yield of extraction from the other sources such as paddy straw, sugar cane baggas and agricultural wastes [4,12-15].

**CONCLUSION**

Methanolic and alkaline extraction procedures were applied to extraction of total phenolic compounds and ferulic acid from sugar beet pulp. Significant differences were found in TPC among different extracts and alkaline extracts contained higher amounts of polyphenols than methanolic extract. Antioxidant capacity for extracts was shown that the significant differences were found at a level of p < 0.05 between all extracts. The antioxidant and total phenolic content levels are also positively and significantly correlated our results strongly suggest sugar beet pulp extracts can be promising sources of potential antioxidants that can be used as additive in food industry.

Also results showed that alkaline hydrolysis of sugar beet pulp released high amounts of ferulic acid, which allows to be used as antioxidants in foods.
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**Table 3.** Ferulic acid concentration of different sugar beet pulp extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Reaction time (h)</th>
<th>Ferulic Acid Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.15 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18.45 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanolic extract</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>27.5 ± 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120.1 ± 2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>234.7 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide (0.5 m molL⁻¹)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>456.3 ± 2.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each value is the mean of three replicates ± standard deviation. The values in each column with the same superscript letter are not significantly different (P < 0.05).*